# Calcined Clays of Lower Kaolinite Content and Their Use as Pozzolanic Materials

Natallia Shanahan, Brandon Lorenz, Yuri Stetsko, A. Zayed Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of South Florida, Tampa, FL



# Background

- Metakaolin obtained by calcination of high-quality kaolinite– expensive
- Ongoing research on using lower-purity kaolinite-containing clays
- FDOT had an interest in exploring the possibility of using locallyavailable clays as pozzolanic material in concrete
- Preliminary investigation objectives:
  - Identification and characterization of lower-purity kaolinite clay sources in Central Florida
  - Assessment of pozzolanic activity of the calcined clays through compressive strength measurements



# Identification of Clay Sources in Florida

 Integrated Habitat Network (IHN) used to identify potential clay sampling locations

http://geodata.dep.state.fl.us

- Locations predominantly both active and inactive mining sites
- No specific information on clay mineralogy





http://floridafisheriesscience.blogspot.com/2013/08/whats-in-lake-exploring-floridas.html

# Material Sampling

- 9 locations selected in Central Florida (A through I)
  - Currently mined for sand or road base material
- 20 field samples
- Multiple samples were obtained from several location based on color variation



# Preliminary Characterization

- All samples dried in the laboratory at 110°C until constant mass
- Sieved through 45  $\mu m$  to separate the clay fraction for preliminary x-ray diffraction (XRD) investigation
- Collected scans were compared visually to identify samples with variable mineralogy
- 10 samples selected for further study



### ASTM C618 Requirements

- Calcined clays Class N natural pozzolans
- Chemical Requirements:
  - $SiO_2 + Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3 \ge 70.0\%$
  - $SO_3 \le 4.0\%$
  - Moisture content  $\leq 3.0\%$
  - Loss on ignition (LOI)  $\leq 10.0\%$



### ASTM C618 Requirements

- Physical Requirements:
  - Amount retained on 45  $\mu$ m sieve (wet-sieved)  $\leq$  34%
  - Strength activity index:
    - At 7 days  $\geq$  75% of control
    - At 28 days  $\geq$  75% of control
  - Water requirement  $\leq$  115 % of control
  - Soundness: autoclave length change  $\leq 0.8\%$



# Detailed Investigation of Selected Samples

- Sand content determination wet-sieved through 45  $\mu$ m sieve
- Retained = sand fraction
- Passing = clay fraction
- Sand content 65-80%
- Clay content 20-35%



# **Clay Fraction Characterization**

- Elemental oxide composition
  - X-ray fluorescence (XRF)
- Mineralogical analysis
  - Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
  - X-ray diffraction (XRD)
  - Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)



### **Elemental Oxide Composition**

Clay ID	<b>A1</b>	<b>B1</b>	<b>B2</b>	<b>B3</b>	<b>B4</b>	С	D1	E	F	G
SiO <sub>2</sub>	46.0	42.5	43.3	37.1	41.1	34.1	38.5	43.7	42.6	43.8
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	37.7	35.9	34.3	33.1	33.3	33.1	31.3	30.1	34.9	32.5
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.9	1.6	3.0	10.2	5.4	6.6	8.9	6.5	4.6	5.5
CaO	<.01	0.05	<.01	0.02	0.17	1.1	0.12	0.37	<.01	<.01
MgO	0.16	0.39	0.24	0.34	0.33	0.29	0.49	0.28	0.21	0.22
SO <sub>3</sub>	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01	0.06	<.01	0.02	<.01	<.01
L.O.I	14.2	16.1	15.1	16.5	15.6	16.3	16.6	15.7	15.4	14.4
(950°C)										
Total	99.5	99.2	99.2	100.0	99.1	99.23	99.1	98.9	99.3	99.2
Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>eq</sub>	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.38	0.2	0.14	0.1	0.22
SiO <sub>2</sub> +Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +	85.6	80.0	80.6	80.4	79.8	73.8	78.7	80.3	82.1	81.8
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>										

SiO<sub>2</sub>+Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>+Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub> contents meet ASTM C618



# Mineralogical Analysis

Challenges in accurate assessment of clay fraction mineralogy:

- Isomorphous substitutions
- Varying degree of disorder





#### Infrared Spectroscopy



SOUTH FLORIDA.

# Varying Degree of Disorder

• Aparicio-Galan-Ferrell index (AGFI):



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA.

# Varying Degree of Disorder

Clay ID	A1	<b>B1</b>	<b>B2</b>	<b>B3</b>	<b>B4</b>	С	D1	E	F	G
AGFI	1.1	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.5	1.1	1.1	0.9
Defect "density"	medium	high	high	high	high	high	high	medium	medium	medium

- No low-defect (high crystallinity) kaolinites
- Medium-defect 4 samples
- High-defect (low crystallinity) 6 samples



# Rietveld Refinement

- In this study, it was observed that fitting can be significantly improved by considering the kaolin group minerals as a superposition of kaolinite, nacrite and dickite structures
- Ideal kaolinite formula:  $Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 2H_2O$
- The modified chemical formula  $((Al_2O_3)_{(1-x)}(Fe_2O_3)_x \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 2H_2O)$  was used in the refinement where x was the Fe-Al substitution parameter
- In kaolinite structure Al<sup>3+</sup> can be substituted by Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ti<sup>4+</sup>, and V<sup>3+</sup>
- Only Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution was considered based on XRF



# Sample Rietveld Refinement



K = kaolin W = waylandite Q = quartz



### Mineralogical Phase Content

	A1	<b>B1</b>	<b>B2</b>	<b>B3</b>	<b>B4</b>	С	D1	Е	F	G
Kaolin (wt.%)	89.7	87.4	86.6	79.2	84.9	69.2	76.9	71.3	90.2	85.6
Fe substitution <i>x</i>	0.0	0.0	0.05	0.2	0.08	0.0	0.1	0.08	0.07	0.08
Muscovite (wt.%)	0.5									
Waylandite (wt.%)			0.2	0.5	0.7	1.6	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.5
Hematite (wt.%)			0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.0
Gibbsite (wt.%)						1.7		3.6		
Quartz (wt.%)	0.5	0.3	2.1	1.6	1.9	0.8	2.6	10.9	1.2	4.0
Amorphous/ unidentified (wt.%)	8.0	12.0	10.9	18.4	12.2	26.5	19.7	13.7	7.8	8.9



#### Thermogravimetric Analysis





#### Thermogravimetric Analysis

• Kaolinite content calculation from TGA:

$$m_K = m_{loss} \frac{M_K}{2M_{H_2O}}$$

• where  $m_K$  is the mass of kaolin,  $m_{loss}$  is the TGA mass loss over a temperature interval using the tangential method,  $M_K$  is the molecular mass of kaolin (258.13 g/mol),  $M_{H_2O}$  is the molecular mass of water (18.0 g/mol) and the constant 2 corresponds to the 2 moles of water in the kaolin formula( $Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4$ )



#### Thermogravimetric Analysis

• Kaolinite content adjusted for the Fe substitution:

$$m_{K}(x) = m_{K} \left[ 1 + x \frac{M_{Fe_{2}O_{3}} - M_{Al_{2}O_{3}}}{M_{K}} \right]$$

• where  $M_{Fe_2O_3} = 159.69$  g/mol and  $M_{Al_2O_3} = 101.96$  g/mol are the molecular weights of iron and aluminum oxides respectively and x was the substitution parameter determined from XRD



### Kaolinite Content

Clay ID	Kaolinite Content (wt.%)	Corrected Kaolinite	Difference in Kaolinite
	(no substitution)	Content (wt%)	between XRD and TGA
A1	94.3	94.3	-4.6
B1	90.9	90.9	-3.5
B2	79.2	80.1	6.5
B3	75.5	78.9	0.3
B4	82.2	83.7	1.3
С	75.2	75.2	-5.9
D1	73.9	75.6	1.3
E	76.6	77.9	-6.6
F	86.2	87.5	2.7
G	79.8	81.4	4.4



### Calcination

- Kaolinite is non-reactive
- Removal of the OH<sup>-</sup> groups on heating transforms kaolinite to metakaolinite

$$Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 2H_2O \xrightarrow{450-700^\circ C} Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 + 2H_2O(g)$$

kaolinite  $\xrightarrow{450-700^{\circ}C}$  metakaolinite + water vapor

• Metakaolinite is amorphous while kaolinite is crystalline, so the transformation process can be followed by XRD



#### Selection of Calcination Temperature





### Compressive Strength

- Based on XRD, 600°C was selected as the calcination temperature
- Samples obtained from the field were calcined at 600°C for 1 h without separating the sand and clay fractions
- Mortar cubes prepared at 10% cement replacement with calcined clay and w/cm = 0.485
- The amount of calcined clay was calculated using the sand/clay fractions determined from wet sieve analysis
- Ottawa sand was then adjusted to account for the sand coming from the calcined material



### Compressive Strength of Mortar Cubes



# Strength Activity Indices

Mix ID	Strength Activity Index					
	7 days	28 days				
10A1-600	93 %	89 %				
10B1-600	77 %	79 %				
10B2-600	86 %	90 %				
10B3-600	73 %	76 %				
10B4-600	83 %	79 %				
10C-600	81 %	76 %				
10D1-600	81 %	84 %				
10E-600	86 %	83 %				
10F-600	88 %	86 %				



# Summary

- A number of potential kaolin sources were identified in Central Florida
- Moderately high kaolinite content in most of the clays
- Presence of large amount of sand
- Possible solutions: separating the sand before calcination, grinding or adjusting the sand in the mix design
- Adjusting the sand content produced compressive strengths within 76-90% of the Control



### Acknowledgements

The authors would also like to thank the Florida Department of Transportation for providing partial funding for this work. The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Florida Department of Transportation.





	A1	B1	B2	<b>B3</b>	B4	С	D1	E	F	G
Cement (g)	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450
Ottawa sand	1207	1271	1159	1224	1236	1176	1282	947	1213	1223
(g)										
Calcined	217	153	266	200	188	248	142	477	211	201
material (g)										
Clay from	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
calcined										
material (g)										
Sand from	167	103	216	150	138	198	92	427	161	151
calcined										
material (g)										
Water (g)	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242	242



#### Strength Activity Index of Calcined Clay Mortars Compared to Their Respective Controls

Mix ID	Strength Activity Index at 7 days
10A1-600-1	90 %
10B1-600-1	83 %
10B2-600-1	86 %
10B3-600-1	84 %
10B4-600-1	83 %



# Amorphous Content of Calcined Samples

Sample ID	Amorphous content (wt%)	Amorphous content (wt%)			
	after calcination at 600°C	after calcination at 800°C			
A1	99.9	99.8			
B1	98.0	98.4			
B2	96.3	96.8			
B3	93.9	94.6			
B4	95.7	94.9			
С	97.4	97.5			
D1	94.8	94.6			
E	95.0	94.2			
F	95.9	96.0			
G	96.5	96.5			



### Kaolinite 2M



### Nacrite



# Dickite

